UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,174	05/04/2006	Tsunehiro Higashinaka	290666US2PCT	5188
22850 7590 01/05/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			CHAN, KAWING	
ALEAANDRIA, VA 22514			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/05/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/578,174	HIGASHINAKA, TSUNEHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kawing Chan	2837			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	nppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Sumr				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

Application/Control Number: 10/578,174 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/10/09 has been entered.

Claims 1-4 are pending for examination.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The rejection to claim 3 under 35 USC 112 has been removed in response to the Applicant's Amendments.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woodruff et al. (US 5,782,319).

Page 3

In Re claim 1, Woodruff discloses a safety device (Figure 3: 44, 52, 54, 56) for an elevator (Figure 1: 22) comprising:

A pair of pivot levers (Figure 7: 112) provided to a car (Col 3 lines 3-5: the safety device 44 including pivots 112 are attached to the car) guided by a guide rail (28), the pair of pivot levers (112) being pivotable about a pair of pivot shafts (Figure 7: 110, 120) that are parallel to each other;

A plurality of braking members (Figure 7: wedges 60 on each side) each provided to each of the pivot levers (112 on each side), the plurality of braking members (60) being capable of coming into and out of contact with the guide rail (28) through pivotal movement of the pivot levers (112) (Col 4 lines 25-60);

A connecting member (116) pivotally connected to connecting portions (118) of the pivot levers (112), the connecting portions (118) located on opposite ends of the connecting member (116) along a plane formed by a longitudinal axis of the connecting member (116) (as shown in Figure 7); and

An electromagnetic actuator (50) configured to push the connecting member (116) in a first direction (e.g. left or right) to pivot the pivot levers (112) in a braking direction to bring the braking members (60) into contact with the guide rail (28) and to pull the connecting member (116) in a second direction (e.g. left or right) to pivot the

Art Unit: 2837

pivot levers (112) in a releasing direction to bring the braking members (60) out of contact with the guide rail (28) (Col 4 lines 25-60).

In Re claim 3, Woodruff discloses said connecting portions (118) of the connecting member (116) with the pivot levers (112) area arranged on different sides with respect to a plane containing axes of the pivot shafts (110, 120); and the electromagnetic actuator (50) causes the connecting member (116) to undergo reciprocating displacement along a straight line connecting between the connecting portions (118) (as shown in Figure 7).

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. **Claims 2 and 4** are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The cited prior art do not teach or suggest "connecting portions of the connecting member with the pivot levers are arranged on the same side with respect to a plane containing axes of the pivot shafts" and "connecting portions of the connecting member with the pivot levers are arranged on a first side of a plane containing axes of the pivot shafts in a case that the braking members are in contact with the guide rail, and the connecting portions are arranged on a second side of the plane containing the axes of the pivot shafts in a case that the braking members are out of contact with the guide rail". The combinations of the claimed limitations in claims 2 and 4 and their

Art Unit: 2837

corresponding parent claims are not anticipated or made obvious by the prior art of record in the examiner's opinion.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kawing Chan whose telephone number is (571)270-3909. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Walter Benson can be reached on 571-272-2227. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,174 Page 6

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2837 /Walter Benson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2837